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Facts from production and measurements I

- MQTL quadrupoles are installed in: - Q7-Q11 (MQTLI). - Q6 in IR3 and IR7 (MQTLH).
- The two apertures are independently powered.
- MQTLH and MQTLI differ only for the operating temperature - MQTLH $\rightarrow 4.5 \mathrm{~K}$ (nominal: $400 \mathrm{~A}, 90 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{m}$ ) o MQTLI -> 1.9 K (nominal: 550 A, 125 T)
- Similarly to the other corrector magnets, MQTLs feature fully epoxy impregnated coils.


## Facts from production and measurements -

 II- Production is very late!
- Production process:
- MQTLI
- Modules, i.e. single aperture elements, are built either at CERN or at ANSALDO
- ANSALDO assembles the modules into a complete quadrupole
- ACCEL assembles the quadrupole delivered by ANSALDO into the final cold mass
- MQTLH
- Modules, i.e. single aperture elements, are built either at CERN or at ANSALDO
- ANSALDO assembles the modules into a complete quadrupole
- CERN assembles the quadrupole delivered by ANSALDO into the final cold mass
- Production at ACCEL cannot be stopped!
- CERN commitment: about 5 magnets/month for a total of 36 by end of 2005 -> delivery to ACCEL should start by end of May
- Production of first MQTLH magnets should start at beginning of 2006: tight schedule! It might affect sector test...

Facts from production and measurements III

- Nominal production rate:
- CERN: 4 modules/month (already started)
- ANSALDO: 6 modules/month (not started yet)

- ANSALDO: 5 magnets/month


## Measurement results for first modules - I

## MQTLM Training
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## Measurement results for first modules - II

- Proposed strategy from AT:
- Training:
- To change the central post material. The positive impact on performance should be confirmed by measurements.
- To install "weak" elements produced so far in "safe" locations, i.e. determined in terms of required strength and flexibility for the LHC optics
- "Safe" locations should be defined by ABP.
- Delay:
- To reduce the number of quenches to shorten the test time.

Poor training is believed to be due to mechanical problems. Beam losses should not have an impact on this issue.

## Optics considerations - I

- Experimental insertions require flexibility, hence safety margin in the strength of MQTLs
- IR3 and IR7: the optics is fixed and it cannot be changed -> they seem a good place to install "weak" MQTL
- In the analysis the optical configuration considered are
- Injection
- Injection at high energy (including change of phase advance in IR2)
- Low-beta configuration, i.e. with all insertions squeezed


## Optics considerations - II

| IR3 | Reserve (\%) | IR7 | Reserve (\%) | Others | Reserve (\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MQTLI.11L3 | 12.5 | MQTLI.11L7 | 75.4 |  |  |
| MQTLI.10L3 | 74.5 | MQTLI.10L7 | 2.1 |  |  |
| MQTLI.B9L3 | 5.0 | MQTLI.B9L7 | 13.2 |  |  |
| MQTLI.A9L3 | 5.0 | MQTLI.A9L7 | 13.2 |  |  |
| MQTLI.8L3 | 29.0 | MQTLI.8L7 | 60.1 | MQTLI.11R1 | -17.5 |
| MQTLI.7L3 | 2.2 | MQTLI.7L7 | -10.0 | MQTLI.11L1 | -11.7 |
| MQTLH.F6L3 | 30.2 | MQTLH.F6L7 | 14.3 |  |  |
| MQTLH.E6L3 | 30.2 | MQTLH.E6L7 | 14.3 | MQTLI.11L2 | -14.9 |
| MQTLH.D6L3 | 30.2 | MQTLH.D6L7 | 14.3 | MQTLI.11R2 | -1.5 |
| MQTLH.C6L3 | 30.2 | MQTLH.C6L7 | 14.3 |  |  |
| MQTLH.B6L3 | 30.2 | MQTLH.B6L7 | 14.3 | MQTLI.11L4 | 46.3 |
| MQTLH.A6L3 | 30.2 | MQTLH.A6L7 | 14.3 | MQTLI.11R4 | 80.5 |
| MQTLH.A6R3 | 29.7 | MQTLH.A6R7 | 11.9 |  |  |
| MQTLH.B6R3 | 29.7 | MQTLH.B6R7 | 11.9 | MQTLI.11L5 | -11.7 |
| MQTLH.C6R3 | 29.7 | MQTLH.C6R7 | 11.9 | MQTLI.11R5 | -17.5 |
| MQTLH.D6R3 | 29.7 | MQTLH.D6R7 | 11.9 |  |  |
| MQTLH.E6R3 | 29.7 | MQTLH.E6R7 | 11.9 | MQTLI.11L6 | 73.4 |
| MQTLH.F6R3 | 29.7 | MQTLH.F6R7 | 11.9 | MQTLI.11R6 | 69.0 |
| MQTLI.7R3 | -25.5 | MQTLI.7R7 | 72.9 |  |  |
| MQTLI.8R3 | 37.1 | MQTLI.8R7 | 16.4 | MQTLI.11L8 | 39.4 |
| MQTLI.A9R3 | 9.5 | MQTLI.A9R7 | 56.5 | MQTLI.11R8 | -11.7 |
| MQTLI.B9R3 | 9.5 | MQTLI.B9R7 | 56.5 |  |  |
| MQTLI.10R3 | 30.3 | MQTLI.10R7 | -5.3 | Nominal curr | ent 400 A |
| MQTLI.11R3 | -2.9 | MQTLI.11R7 | 75.3 | for both MQT | LH, MQTLI |

## Field quality issues

- Partially analysed (see FQWG meeting 16/11/04)
- Measurement results from a complete quadrupole should be available in few weeks
- Experts believe there might be strong influence from one aperture to the other
- A request to add field measurement with asymmetric powering was issued


## Outlook

## - Still missing:

- Fancy optical configurations:
- IR2/8: large beta*
- Alignment optics in IR1/5
- TOTEM optics in IR5
- Resonance-free lattices
- Beta-squeeze:
- IR8
- For MQTLI in Q11 (Right side of IP1 and IP5 for beam 2) the current is not monotonic vs. beta*.

