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## Questions:

- Dynamic aperture in collision with head-on and long range beam-beam interactions
- Difference between alternating of non-alternating crossing planes in IP1 and IP5
- Difference betwen Nominal and PACMAN bunches
$\square$ Effect of triplet errors

```
Procedure (1):
```

$\square$ V6.4 and $\beta^{*}=0.55 \mathrm{~m}$
Head-on and long range beam-beam interactions

Triplet errors corrected

- Horizontal-Vertical and Horizontal-Horizontal crossings in IP1 and IP5

Nominal and PACMAN bunches separate

## Procedure (2):

- All angles between $0^{0}$ and $90^{0}$ in $x-y$ plane
- Error table 2210
- Preparation with MADX
- Tracking with SIXTRACK

Tracking up to $10^{6}$ turns with 20 seeds for triplet errors

- Use of LHC@home


## Working diagram




Tune scan with split 0.01 and 0.02 , step of 0.001
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Ave. dynamic aperture (HV triplet errors, NOMINAL)



## Ave. dynamic aperture (HV triplet errors, PACMAN)



Ave. dynamic aperture (HH triplet errors, NOMINAL)


## Ave. dynamic aperture (HH triplet errors, PACMAN)



Ave. dynamic aperture (HV triplet errors, split 0.02)


Min. dynamic aperture (HV triplet errors, NOMINAL)


Min. dynamic aperture (HV triplet errors, PACMAN)


Min. dynamic aperture (HH triplet errors, NOMINAL)


Min. dynamic aperture (HH triplet errors, PACMAN)


## Min. dynamic aperture (HV triplet errors, split 0.02)



## Chaotic border (HV triplet errors, NOMINAL)



Chaotic border (HV triplet errors, PACMAN)



## Chaotic border (HH triplet errors, NOMINAL)



Chaotic border (HH triplet errors, PACMAN)


HV versus HH, average, small angles


HV versus HH, average, large angles


HV versus HH, minimum, small angles


HV versus HH, minimum, large angles


HV versus HH, chaos border, small angles)



HV versus $H H$, chaos border, large angles)


## Observations:

- For corrected triplet errors, tune dependence dominated by beam-beam effects

Strong angular dependence in HH case, better in vertical plane
$\square$ Dynamic aperture: small difference between HV and HH considering the full angular range, HH about 0.5 to $0.7 \sigma$ lower minimum

Chaos border: for HH significantly lower below 45 degrees

Tune split of 0.02 made things worse
No alternative working point for HH case

## Summary (1):

$\rightarrow$ Dynamic aperture interval for full angular range
$\rightarrow$ Values in tune range $\mathrm{Q}_{x} \in[0.308,0.312]$

| case | average <br> dynamic aperture | minimum <br> dynamic aperture |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HV, nominal | $6.9-9.5$ | $6.0-9.0$ |
| HV, PACMAN | $7.4-11.0$ | $6.4-10.5$ |
| HH, nominal | $5.6-12.0$ | $5.2-12.0$ |
| HH, PACMAN | $7.4-12.0$ | $5.0-12.0$ |

## Summary (2):

$\rightarrow$ Dynamic aperture interval for full angular range
$\rightarrow$ Values for best sliding window $\Delta \mathrm{Q}_{x} \leq 0.002$
$\rightarrow$ Within tune range $\mathrm{Q}_{x} \in[0.300,0.320]$

| case | average <br> dynamic aperture | minimum <br> dynamic aperture |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HV, nominal | $8.0-12.0$ | $7.2-12.0$ |
| HV, PACMAN | $8.6-12.0$ | $7.8-12.0$ |
| HH, nominal | $7.2-12.0$ | $6.8-12.0$ |
| HH, PACMAN | $8.0-12.0$ | $7.4-12.0$ |

## Other working points



## Proposed studies:

- Triplet errors uncorrected

T Triplet errors partially corrected

- Other working point
$\square$ V6.5 and $\beta^{*}=2 \mathrm{~m}$, no correction of triplet errors
- Vertical-vertical crossing

