Emittance growth study with MADX

-Follow-up of A. Morita's study, LCU meeting on 23/09/2008

Yi-Peng Sun, Frank Zimmermann

ABP Group, BE Department, CERN

Thanks to Frank Schmidt, Javier Barranco, Rogelio Tomás, and Akio Morita This work was supported by the European Community-Research Infrastructure Activity under the FP6 "Structuring the European Research Area" programme (CARE, contract number RII3-CT-2003-506395).



- Tracking condition
- Emittance growth from octupole
- Emittance growth from beam-beam (BB) & Non-linear magnetic errors
- Emittance & bunch size growth from crab cavity (CC) ramping
 - 800 MHz global CC
 - Dependence on CC frequency and voltage

Tracking condition

- Modified MADX Thintrack module
- 10,000 particles in 6D Gaussian distribution
 - /afs/cern.ch/eng/lhc/optics/V6.500/V6.5.thin.seq(V6.5.thin.coll.str)
 - $\sigma_x = \sigma_y = 16.6e-6 \text{ m}; \sigma_z = 0.07 \text{ m}$
 - Longitudinal cut on 'cT' and ' δ_p ' at 2.5 σ
- Sextupole + Crab cavity (ramping)
 - CC ramped up from beginning, for 1 (5, 10, 100, 1k) turns
 - CC ramped down between 2000 and 2100 turns

Dtune

• Dtune from octupole (Crossing at IP OFF, 0 closed orbit), thin sequence

 $(1 \sigma_x + 1 \sigma_y)$ (Different from Akio's results)

- KOD = KOF = $16 m^{-4}$ Dtune(x) = 1.76E-5, Dtune(y) = 2.02E-5
- KOD = KOF = $100 m^{-4}$ Dtune(x) = 1.09E-4, Dtune(y) = 1.27E-4
- Dtune from Beam-beam (thick sequence+makethin) (Crossing ON, Separation OFF) (0.01 σ_x + 0.01 σ_y)
 - Dtune(x) = -3.3E-3, Dtune(y) = -2.7E-3 (Head-on only IP1)
 - Dtune(x) = -6E-3, Dtune(y) = -3.1E-5 (Head-on + Parasitic only IP1)





Head-on (IP1) .VS. Head-on + Parasitic (IP1) Left: Horizontal detune; Right: Vertical detune





Left: Horizontal detune; Right: Vertical detune Crossing at IP OFF, 0 closed orbit

Emittance growth from OD+OF (+)



Left: Horizontal; Right: Vertical Crossing at IP OFF, 0 closed orbit $KOD = KOF = 16 (100) m^{-4}$

Emittance growth from OD+OF (-)



Left: Horizontal; Right: Vertical Crossing at IP OFF, 0 closed orbit $KOD = KOF = -16 (-100) m^{-4}$

Emittance growth from BB



Left: Horizontal; Right: Vertical Crossing at IP ON, Separation at IP OFF Head-on + Parasitic at IP1+IP5

Emittance growth from Multi error



Left: Horizontal; Right: Vertical Multipole errors for Dipoles and Quadrupoles

/afs/cern.ch/user/r/rdemaria/dott/pool/errors/collision_errors-1.tfs

CC Frequency & Ramping



One particle with 3 δ_p , 2000 turns

Left: Ramping of 800 MHz CC; Right: 400 & 800 MHz CC

Horizontal emittance, 800 MHz CC (1)



Horizontal emittance, 800 MHz CC (2)



KOD=KOF=16

Horizontal emittance, 800 MHz CC (3)



With beam-beam at IP1 and IP5, Head-on + Parasitic

Horizontal emittance, 800 MHz CC (4)



Multipole errors /afs/cern.ch/user/r/rdemaria/dott/pool/errors/collision_errors-1.tfs

Horizontal emittance, 400 MHz CC



Agree with Aiko's (using physical coordinates)

Vertical emittance, 800 MHz CC



Longitudinal emittance, 800 MHz CC











Yi-Peng SUN et al.





Horizontal emittance .VS. CC Frequency(1)



Horizontal emittance .VS. CC Frequency(2)



Longitudinal cut on 'cT' and ' δ_p ' at 1 σ (linear part)

Horizontal emittance .VS. CC Frequency(3)





KOD=KOF=16

Vertical emittance .VS. CC Frequency



Longitudinal emittance .VS. CC Frequency



Conclusion

- Crab cavity ramping period should be longer than 10 turns (Agree with Akio)
- Beam-beam, octupoles and multipole errors give no obvious effect (both alone, and together with crab cavity ramping)
- Some artificial emittance growth due to mismatch of initial distribution (and/or optics)