# First study of high- $\beta^*$ optics for ALICE

### Pascal Dominik Hermes

CERN, Geneva

10.10.2011



# 2 90 m Optics

- Matching
- Optics
- Aperture
- Tune compensation
- Detection
- Un-Squeeze

### 3 Conclusions

### Introduction - High- $\beta$ optics

Objectives

- Un-squeezed beam with small divergence at IP
- Inelastic scattering at very low angles
- Detection via roman pots left and right of IP

Challenges

- $\bullet~{\rm Find}~{\rm high}{-}\beta~{\rm optics}$ 
  - Uncritical aperture
  - Respect quadrupole limitations
  - Phase shift of  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  between IP and RP
- Find detector positions
- Methods for tune compensation
- Un-Squeeze

Already performed at IR1 and IR5

### Matching

- Matching by MAD-X (Madtomma)
- Flat machine
- 7 TeV
- Also use quadrupoles of the DS
- Check several triplet quadrupole strengths

- External tune compensation required
- No constraint on  $\mu \rightarrow$  No result
- Define final  $\mu \rightarrow$  systematical scan
- Choose solution by  $\beta$ , symmetry, tune, phase shift to RP
- Best results <sup>1</sup> with triplet quads at 0.00754m<sup>-2</sup>
- Refine by applying constraints on  $\beta$

1. 10 m optics : 0.00889 TOTEM 90 m optics : 0.00764

### 90 m Optics - Beam 1



## Beam 1 - Quadrupole strengths

- Relative quadrupole strengths
- Respect polarity
- Max. Value 87%
- Mean Value 61.2%



### Optics

# Beam 1 - Comparison with TOTEM





 $KQX.L2 = 7.5448 \cdot 10^{-3} m^{-2} / KQX.R5 = 7.6448 \cdot 10^{-3} m^{-2}$ 

### 90 m Optics - Beam 2



## Beam 2 - Quadrupole strengths

- Relative quadrupole strengths
- Respect polarity
- Max. Value 95.9%
- Mean Value 64.2%



### Beam 2 - Comparison with TOTEM



 $KQX.L2 = 7.5448 \cdot 10^{-3} m^{-2} / \ KQX.R5 = 7.6448 \cdot 10^{-3} m^{-2}$ 

### Aperture Beam 1



90 m Optics

Aperture

### Aperture Beam 1 - Comparison with TOTEM



### Aperture Beam 2



90 m Optics Aperture

### Aperture Beam 2 - Comparison with TOTEM



### Tune compensation

- Methods for tune compensation proposed by H. Burkhardt
- Compensation in main arc quadrupoles (small beta beating)
- Rematching of IR4 (some beta beating, maximum compensation of 0.2)
- Studies on the feasibility of simultaneous high- $\beta$  performance in IR1, IR2, IR5

- Detection via roman pots or Hamburg pipes
- Parallel to point focusing
  - $\rightarrow$  Betatron phase shift of  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  between IP and RPs
- Small momentum transfers
  - $\rightarrow$  Possibly large distance between IP and RPs
- Detector positions not yet clear

### Detectors



Figure 3.8: The left side of the matching section in IR2.





Detection between Q5 and Q6 left and right of IP

	Beam 1	Beam 2
Element	TCLIB.6R2.B1	BPM.6L2.B2
Distance	227.6	236.8
$\Delta \mu_x$	0.50172	0.485825
$\Delta \mu_y$	0.52873	0.494827

- Exact positions need to be found (respect existing hardware : vacuum, electrics,...)
- Optics must be rematched to satisfy constraint

### **Un-Squeeze**

- Smooth un-squeeze
- Intermediate steps needed
- Check the same steps as for TOTEM
- Linear approximation between steps
- Check apertures

### Conclusions

### Results

- First high- $\beta$  optics found
- Quadrupoles ok
- Phase advance in the correct order of magnitude
- Aperture ok

Remaining tasks

- Refine the existing optics
- Match betatron phase constraint
- Find detector posistions
- Find un-squeeze

Conclusions

### Acknowledgements

- John M. Jowett
- Helmut Burkhardt, Hannes Wessels, Rainer Schicker