Simulations of IBS for Protons at Injection in 2012

Michaela Schaumann

Thanks to John Jowett, Roderik Bruce

Collider Time Evolution (CTE) Program

- Authors: Roderik Bruce, Mike Blaskiewicz and Tom Mertens
- Program to track 2 bunches of macro-particles in time in a collider
 - Subroutines act on the bunches on a turn-by-turn basis: one simulation turn can correspond to any chosen number of machine turns.
 - Several other input parameter define the initial beams:
 e.g. particle type, particles per bunch, emittances in X und Y,
 bunch length, RF voltage...

Starting Conditions used in CTE Simulations

Combinations of simulated beam parameters Intensity per Emittances **Bunch**, Nb εχ,γ [10¹] charges] [um rad] 1.5 1.9 1.6 2.0 2.2 **Bunch Length**, σ_s 2.5 **(4**σ**)** [ns] 0.1 1.5

Other important Settings

- injection energy (450GeV)
- 6MV RF-Voltage
- round beams
- uncoupled planes
- beam shape: pseudo-Gaussian, exactly matched
- no collisions
- no collimation

Intensity



Emittance



IBS Simulations for Protons in 2012

Bunch Length



Michaela Schaumann, 03/04/2012 IBS Simulations for Protons in 2012

6

IBS Growth Rates



7

- Ins growth rates start higher and decreases faster
 - emittances increase faster for small bunch lengths
- I.5ns growth rates quite stable and much smaller as for Ins initial bunch length
- initial growth rates increase with smaller initial emittances



Comparison with MADX Calculations Horizontal Growth Rate



- MADX calculations are shown as the dashed lines
- Growth rate vs. longitudinal emittance ε_l
 - initial points in good agreement
 - lines separate for higher longitudinal emittances
 - MADX calcucation only varies ε_l
 - in the simulation all parameters evolve with time
 - only for initial points, both are expected to agree
- Growth rate vs. transverse emittance ε_{xy}
 - initial points in good agreement
 - for I.5ns initial bunch length, ε_l is almost constant, thus the data agrees for later times as well

Comparison with MADX Calculations Longitudinal Growth Rate



- MADX calculations are shown as the dashed lines
- Growth rate vs.
 longitudinal emittance ε_l
 - initial points in good agreement
 - Growth rate vs.
 - transverse emittance ε_{xy}
 - offset between MADX and CTE
 - not yet explained

Estimation of the Luminosity



- calculate $N^2/\sqrt{\varepsilon_x \varepsilon_y}$ to get an estimate of what the luminosity would be if collisions are started
- curves for the Ins initial bunch length cases decrease slower
 - less intensity losses, since the particles fill the bucket before they start to get lost
- the high particle losses of the blown-up bunches decrease the expected luminosity much more, even if their emittance blow-up is slower
- a compromise for the blow-up of the longitudinal emittance has to be found, to optimize the luminosity lifetime

Simulation with HL-LHC Parameters

- Spacing: Nb, ϵ_{xy} , σ_t — 25ns: 2e11, 2.5 μ m, 1.5ns — 25ns: 2e11, 2.5 μ m, 1ns
- 50ns: 3.3e11, 3μm, 1.5ns
- 50ns: 3.3e11, 3μm, 1ns

- same picture as for 2012 parameters
- different bunch lengths have big effect on the evolution
- small initial bunch lengths lead to faster growth in transverse and longitudinal plane
- combination 3.3el lppb/3µm (50ns) and 2el l/2.5µm (25ns) only show small differences for equal initial bunch length
- only one bunch was simulated



IBS Simulations for Protons in 2012

Simulation with HL-LHC Parameters (2)



- higher initial bunch lengths lose more particles
- particle losses affect the potential luminosity more than smaller emittance growth
- Iuminosity decreases faster for higher initial bunch length
- a compromise has to be found for the longitudinal blow-up to optimise the luminosity lifetime



Michaela Schaumann, 03/04/2012 IBS Simulations for Protons in 2012

Spacing: Nb, ϵ_{xy} , σ_t — 25ns: 2e11, 2.5 μ m, 1.5ns — 25ns: 2e11, 2.5 μ m, 1ns — 50ns: 3.3e11, 3 μ m, 1.5ns — 50ns: 3.3e11, 3 μ m, 1ns

Conclusion

- Simulations were done for a single bunch at injection.
- The beam conditions are shown as a function of time at injection: this gives an estimation of the spread between early and late injected bunches.
- Higher initial bunch lengths blow-up the transverse and longitudinal plane slowly but show high particle losses due to debunching.
- Small changes in the initial intensity do not have a significant effect.
- The estimation of the potential luminosity shows a great dependency on the losses due to debunching: the blow-up of the longitudinal emittance has to be optimised to find a compromise between smaller transverse emittance blow-up and higher particle losses.
- > The IBS growth rates decrease fast with increasing bunch length and emittance
- The calculations of MADX and CTE are in good agreement for the initial parameters.
- A frist estimation of the HL-LHC parameter sets was shown.

BACK- UP

Michaela Schaumann, 03/04/2012 IBS Simulations for Protons in 2012

Collider Time Evolution (CTE) Program

Processes taken into account:

COLLISIONS

- user can choose between 2 collision routines:
 - very slow, integrates interaction probability for every particle by sorting particles in opposing beam in discrete bins. No assumptions on the shape of the beam distribution.
 - fast routine, assumes Gaussian transverse distribution and calcualtes interaction probability from transverse distribution analytically and uses global reduction factor (hourglass and crossing angle) for all particles. No assumptions on longitudinal distribution.

► IBS

- rise time calculated using a standard method and modulated to account for non-Gaussian longitudinal profiles
- user can choose between the following methods:
 - Nagaitsev full lattice
 - smooth lattice Piwinski
 - full lattice Piwinski
 - full lattice modified Piwinski
 - full lattice Bane (not good at injection)
 - interpolation from tabulated risetimes in external file at given points in emittance-space
- **BETATRON MOTION**
- SYNCHROTRON MOTION (particles outside RF bucket are lost)
- RADIATION DAMPING and QUANTUM EXCITATION
- transverse aperture cut from COLLIMATION

Collider Time Evolution (CTE) Program

Output on a turn-by-turn basis

- IBS rise times
- Intensity
- Transversal and longitudinal emittances
- Luminosity

Not Implemented

- Betatron noise from feedback
 - emittance blow-up
- RF noise
- Elastic and inelastic beam gas scattering
 - particle loss and emittance blow-up

Longitudinal IBS Growth Rates



Horizontal IBS Growth Rate



Vertical IBS Growth Rate



20

- Simulation was done for uncoupled transverse planes
- vertical growth rate very small and negative
 - vertical emittance shrinks very slowly due to IBS

