Aim: Reduce the beam losses at the transition time using the Gammajump in the PS

Compromise between the following contrains at the transition time:

- $|\eta| \le 0.004$ less than 1 ms
- Zero Tune shift or the smallest possible (not considered in the study)
- The best Jump quality as possible, i.e $\Delta \gamma tr \approx 1$ as large as possible in order to stay far from the instability zone, the transition
- Be careful of the losses in section 63



(From M. Martini, APC)

Results of the sensitivity study

Increase the current in the first part of the Ps machine and decrease of the same quantity in the second part (section 63)

Increase the current in the second part of the Ps machine and decrease of the same quantity in the first part

Which influence do the doublet and the triplet have on the envelope?

qnd95 qnt99 and&7 First Part qnd19 gld Second Part <mark>rand</mark>37 qld6' ant4 alt40

Doublet and Triplet Magnets in PS

machine

Final specifications of the doublet and triplet currents

(From M. Martini, APC)

Doublet and triplet influence on the envelope – Results of the sensitivity study of increasing currents in the first part of the machine



No significant influence of the doublet on the envelope, contrary to the triplet

A priori no reduction of the envelope in this configuration of power supply

Red: normal machine Black: +15% of the doublet currents only Yellow :+15% of the triplet currents only Cyan: 15% of both



Increase of the envelope if the currents, particularly the triplet current, are raised in the first part of the PS machine because of the Dispersion function





Red: normal machine – Blue: +10% triplet – Yellow: +40% triplet - Green: +40% both

No decrease of the envelope, but the peeks moved toward sections where the apertures could be larger

Limit of the current increases +40% in the triplet or for both

Check if the function n fulfils condition

Present quadrupole layout



(From M. Martini, APC)





abs(eta) VS. time