Tune shift and instabilities measurement at PSBooster

D. Quatraro, M. Chanel, A. Findlay, B. Mikulec, G. Rumolo thanks to F. Blas, K. Hanke, J. Tan and to all the PSB operators

CERN

20 October 2008

イロト イワト イヨト

Outline

Introduction

- Motivations
- The schedule and the cycles

Tune shift vs. intensity

- Ring2 @ 160 MeV
- The data for the ring 2/4 @ 160 MeV
- The data for the ring 2/4 @ 1 GeV

Instabilities at Ring 4

4 Conclusions & Questions

< <p>>

うくぐ

- Estimation of the impedances in the PSB (never done before)
- Trying to understand which kind of instabilities appears
- Trying to estimate the instability threshold in term of intensity
- Understand whether there are differences between the 4 rings

We have used 3 cycles at different kinetic energies

- MD2 [160 MeV]: with and without the second harmonic (C04) for tune shift measurements
- MD3 [1 GeV]: with only one harmonic (C02) for tune shift measurements
- NORMGPS [1.4 GeV]: with only one harmonic (C02) for tune shift and instabilities measurements

< <p>>

Sac

Outline

Introduction

- Motivations
- The schedule and the cycles

2 Tune shift vs. intensity

- Ring2 @ 160 MeV
- The data for the ring 2/4 @ 160 MeV
- The data for the ring 2/4 @ 1 GeV

3) Instabilities at Ring 4

4 Conclusions & Questions

< 🗆

うくぐ

Ring 2 measurements for the MD2 cycle 1/3

Kinetic energy: 160 MeV

A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

A >

JOG CP

Ring 2 measurements for the MD2 cycle 1/3

Kinetic energy: 160 MeV Longitudinal phase space with the C02 and C04 on ($V \simeq 8$ KV)

< <p>I >

Ring 2 measurements for the MD2 cycle 1/3

Kinetic energy: 160 MeV Longitudinal phase space with the C02 and C04 on ($V \simeq 8$ KV)



・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 言 ト ・ 唱 ト ・ 日 ・ 今 今 や

Ring 2 measurements for the MD2 cycle 2/3



Ring 2 measurements for the MD2 cycle 3/3

Bunch length from the tomoscope



< 🗆

MD2 cycle with single rf cavity (C02)

<ロト < 🗗

JOG CP

MD2 cycle with single rf cavity (C02)



< <p>I >

49

JAG.



Tune shift for Gaussian beam

-

JOG CP

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <</p>

Tune shift for Gaussian beam

$$\Omega - \omega_eta \simeq -rac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}}rac{\textit{Nr}_0 c^2}{eta^2 \gamma T_0 \omega_eta \sigma} \textit{i} Z_{\textit{Eff}}.$$

from the above formula we can obtain the total effective impedance.

5900

A D > A B > A

≣ ▶ .

Tune shift for Gaussian beam

$$\Omega - \omega_eta \simeq -rac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}}rac{\textit{Nr}_0 c^2}{eta^2 \gamma T_0 \omega_eta \sigma} \textit{i} Z_{\it Eff.}$$

from the above formula we can obtain the total effective impedance. The effective impedance has the following form:

$$Z = Z_{BB/RW}(\gamma?) + Z_{SC}(\gamma)$$

< D > < A >

Tune shift for Gaussian beam

$$\Omega-\omega_eta\simeq -rac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}}rac{{\it N}r_0c^2}{eta^2\gamma T_0\omega_eta\sigma}iZ_{\it Eff.}$$

from the above formula we can obtain the total effective impedance. The effective impedance has the following form:

$$Z = Z_{BB/RW}(\gamma?) + Z_{SC}(\gamma)$$

The image charges term gives the following tune shift

JAC.

Tune shift for Gaussian beam

$$\Omega-\omega_eta\simeq -rac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}}rac{\mathit{Nr_0c^2}}{eta^2\gamma \mathit{T_0}\omega_eta\sigma}\mathit{iZ_{Eff.}}$$

from the above formula we can obtain the total effective impedance. The effective impedance has the following form:

$$Z = Z_{BB/RW}(\gamma?) + Z_{SC}(\gamma)$$

The image charges term gives the following tune shift

$$\Delta\Omega_{sc} = -\frac{Nr_0R}{\pi\gamma\beta^2 Q_y} \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{B}}_{\text{electric image}} - \underbrace{\beta^2 \left(\frac{1}{B} - 1\right)}_{\text{magnetic image}} \right) \frac{\xi^2}{h^2}, \quad \begin{cases} B = \frac{\sigma_t\beta c}{2\pi R}\\ \xi = \pi^2/16 \end{cases}$$

K. Y. NG, Physics of Intensity Dependent Beam Instabilities

D 1		CEDM 1
U. 1	Quatraro	CERN

Sac

Results for Ring 2/4 @ 160 MeV

D. Quatraro	(CERN)	
-------------	--------	--

<ロト < 🗗

Results for Ring 2/4 @ 160 MeV

	Z _{Eff} .	
Ring 2	$11.1 \ M\Omega/m$	
Ring 4	11.9 M Ω/m	

-

JOG CP

Results for Ring 2/4 @ 160 MeV

	Z _{Eff} .	
Ring 2	$11.1 \ M\Omega/m$	
Ring 4	11.9 M Ω/m	

Concerning the tune shift due to the space charge we get

 $\Delta\Omega_{sc} = 0.072$

The space charge coherent tune shift turned out to be comparable and even bigger (ring 2) compared to the total one (vertical plane).

A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

JAC.

Results for Ring 2/4 @ 160 MeV

	Z _{Eff} .	
Ring 2	$11.1 \ M\Omega/m$	
Ring 4	11.9 M Ω/m	

Concerning the tune shift due to the space charge we get

 $\Delta\Omega_{sc} = 0.072$

The space charge coherent tune shift turned out to be comparable and even bigger (ring 2) compared to the total one (vertical plane). **but...**

Image: A matrix and a matrix

Results for Ring 2/4 @ 160 MeV

	Z _{Eff} .	
Ring 2	$11.1 \ M\Omega/m$	
Ring 4	11.9 M Ω/m	

Concerning the tune shift due to the space charge we get

 $\Delta\Omega_{sc} = 0.072$

The space charge coherent tune shift turned out to be comparable and even bigger (ring 2) compared to the total one (vertical plane). **but...**

in the horizontal plane we didn't observe a clear shift and we should have seen

$$\Delta\Omega^{H}_{sc}\simeq\Delta\Omega^{V}_{sc}/4$$

< <p>Image: Image: Imag

Sac

MD3 cycle with single rf cavity (C02)

 JOG CP

MD3 cycle with single rf cavity (C02)



< <p>I >

A >

JOG CP



Results for Ring2/4 @ 1 GeV

Concerning the tune shift due to the space charge we get

 $\Delta\Omega_{sc}=0.0092$

same issue as @ 160 MeV.

 Results for Ring2/4 @ 1 GeV

$$Z_{Eff.}$$

 Ring 2
 4.17 MΩ/m

 Ring 4
 4.63 MΩ/m

Concerning the tune shift due to the space charge we get

 $\Delta\Omega_{sc}=0.0092$

same issue as @ 160 MeV.

The percentage of the space charge tune shift should decrease...

Image: A matrix and a matrix

Results for Ring2/4 @ 1 GeV

$$\begin{array}{c} Z_{Eff.} \\ \hline Ring 2 & 4.17 \text{ } M\Omega/\text{m} \\ \hline Ring 4 & 4.63 \text{ } M\Omega/\text{m} \end{array}$$

Concerning the tune shift due to the space charge we get

 $\Delta\Omega_{sc}=0.0092$

same issue as @ 160 MeV.

The percentage of the space charge tune shift should decrease... **but...**

Results for Ring2/4 @ 1 GeV

$$\begin{array}{c} Z_{Eff.} \\ \hline Ring 2 & 4.17 \text{ } M\Omega/\text{m} \\ \hline Ring 4 & 4.63 \text{ } M\Omega/\text{m} \end{array}$$

Concerning the tune shift due to the space charge we get

 $\Delta\Omega_{sc}=0.0092$

same issue as @ 160 MeV.

The percentage of the space charge tune shift should decrease...

but...

it is not the case!

Image: A matrix and a matrix

Results for Ring2/4 @ 1 GeV

$$\begin{array}{c} Z_{Eff.} \\ Ring 2 & 4.17 \ M\Omega/m \\ Ring 4 & 4.63 \ M\Omega/m \end{array}$$

Concerning the tune shift due to the space charge we get

 $\Delta\Omega_{sc}=0.0092$

same issue as @ 160 MeV.

The percentage of the space charge tune shift should decrease...

but...

it is not the case!

It is likely we are **overestimating** it, taking in account h = 0.035 m as pipe size.

< 由 > < 同 > < 글 > < 글 >

The frequency range

For the $Z_{Eff.}$ we have

$$Z_{Eff.} = \frac{\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} Z(\omega') h(\omega' - \omega_{\xi})}{\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\omega' - \omega_{\xi})}, \quad \begin{cases} \omega' = \omega_0 p \\ \omega_{\xi} = \xi \omega_{\beta}/\eta \\ h(\omega) = \exp(-\omega^2 \sigma^2/c^2) \end{cases}$$

with

	160 MeV	1 GeV
$\omega_0[s^{-1}]$	6.23 ·10 ⁶	10.5·10 ⁶
η	-0.790	-0.295

we use the following range of the impedance

$$\begin{cases} 160 \mathrm{MeV} & \rightarrow & \omega' = 37.4 \mathrm{MHz} \\ 1 \mathrm{GeV} & \rightarrow & \omega' = 157 \mathrm{MHz} \end{cases}$$

Outline

Introduction

- Motivations
- The schedule and the cycles
- Tune shift vs. intensity
 - Ring2 @ 160 MeV
 - The data for the ring 2/4 @ 160 MeV
 - The data for the ring 2/4 @ 1 GeV

Instabilities at Ring 4

4 Conclusions & Questions

< D >

うくぐ

The cycle and the losses 1/2

NORMGPS cycle @ 1.4 GeV

Losses mainly localized at two points throughout the cycle

D. 1	Quatraro I	(CERN.)

 $\langle \Box \rangle$

The cycle and the losses 1/2

NORMGPS cycle @ 1.4 GeV

Losses mainly localized at two points throughout the cycle



at pprox 370 ms (left) and pprox 470 ms (right)

< 🗆

The cycle and the losses 2/2

One rf cavity on (C02) and a Gaussian - like beam

 JOG CP

The cycle and the losses 2/2

One rf cavity on (C02) and a Gaussian - like beam



< D >

The first at C time 378 ms

ъ

JOG CP



-

ъ



D.	Quatraro (CERN

< 口 > < 何?

⊒

JOG CP



=

Growth rates

We have observed the following growth rates at $I \approx 490 \cdot 10^{10}$ ppb

• • • •

A >

∃ >

JOG CP

Growth rates

We have observed the following growth rates at $I \approx 490 \cdot 10^{10} \text{ ppb}$

Ring 4 at 1.4 GeV, NORMGPS cycle

Ctime[ms]	378	478
$ au$ [μ s]	34	60

< 口 > < 何?

Outline

Introduction

- Motivations
- The schedule and the cycles
- Tune shift vs. intensity
 - Ring2 @ 160 MeV
 - The data for the ring 2/4 @ 160 MeV
 - The data for the ring 2/4 @ 1 GeV

Instabilities at Ring 4

4 Conclusions & Questions

< D >

うくぐ

- Impedance estimated for ring 2 /4 both
- No big differences between the two
- Growth rates of the instabilities (ring 4) estimated
- The kind of instability is still to understand

< <p>>