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## MEAN RADIAL POSITION @ TRANSITION (2007)

Mean Radial Position (MRP) computed with the 40 PUs of the orbit measurement system (CODD) with an AD beam


- MRP-jump due to the doublets inversion of the Gammajump.
-1-2 mm of the jump is explained by the fact that the orbit doesn't pass by the center of the GJ quadrupoles
- However, 2 mm are left.


## MRP WITH A RADIAL STEERING

SFTPRO 10/10/07, Transition time: 486 ms


With the radial steering:

- Less losses.
- No MRP jump at transition


## MRP WITH A RADIAL STEERING

- BLM SFTPRO 2 Juillet 2007 - sans correction


Environ 1-2\% du faisceau est perdu
$\sim 10-20$ e10 part.
~ faisceau EAST

Transition

## MRP WITH A RADIAL STEERING



## RADIAL LOOP SYSTEM

- The radial loop system controls the MRP with initially 3 PUs in section 22-51-96. The PU 36 was included in 2008.
- It is working jointly with the phase loop.



## Trajectory Through transition



Data taken with the new orbit measurements system (10000 trajectories through transition)

From J. Bellemann

Phase advance not suitable

## DISPERSIONS THROUGH TRANSITION

## Dispersion at 4 PUs



- The PU51 might be less sensitive to changes in trajectory due to dispersion.
- Dispersion computed with MADX


## Mean Dispersion in the PS




## Problems With The current system

- PU51 is less sensitive to changes in trajectory due to its low dispersion during the Gammajump.
- Not optimal phase advance between the PU51 and 96 and PU36.
- Proposal to include the PU76 in the radial loop system




## MRP MEASUREMENTS WITH THE PU76

- The PU76 was connected to the radial loop control system.
- MRP measurements on the AD, SFTPRO and ToF beams with several PUs combinations (PU22, 36, 76, 96 and the current configuration)
- Trajectories turn by turn thanks to the new orbit measurements system.


## Results md - AD BEAM



MRP @ transition and along the cycle.

- Beam well centered for 22-36-76-96
- Almost no MRP jump
- Mean center of the GJ quadrupoles around -1mm


## RESULTS MD - SFTPRO BEAM



MRP @ transition and along the cycle.

## RESULTS MD - TOF BEAM



MRP @ transition and along the cycle.

- Mean center of the beam of the GJ quads $\sim-1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
- The direction of the jump is inversed.


## Conclusions-OUTLOOKS

- In 2007 , the center of the GJ quads seemed to be around +3.5 mm . This year, the center seems to be around -1 mm .
- Measurements with radial steering to check the transition crossing of the MRP.
- Launch orbit simulations with the new alignment of the GJ quads.
- The steering of the beam had been improved by using the new PU76: the radial loop system is more sensitive to energy error and the Pus have a better advance.
- MRP-jump due to:
- steering of the beam at the GJ quads (inversion of the doublet @ transition)
" The radial loop system can not correct quick deviation (doublets inversion $\sim 500$ ps.), the system is inactive for several ms . This is what we observed

