Tracking Calculations and Operational Aspects for the LHC Upgrade - D1 errors in Collision Optics -

3)]

), }⊷0I*]

 $\beta_{v}(m), \beta_{y}(m)$

Bernhard Holzer, CERN BE-ABP and many colleagues !!!



and the Upgrade



critical issues for the DA ???

error tables ... at the triplett ? ... at the new D1 ? ... at the matching section ?

and which multipoles?

2 Triplet corrector package

2.1 Functional description

In order to compensate for the field imperfections of the triplet magnets (MQX) and the cold and warm separation dipoles (MBX and MBXW), both sides of each experimental insertion IR1, IR2, IR5, and IR8 are equipped with linear and non linear corrector packages (see Fig. 1):





SLHC/errors/ ITD1 Error Table_ V2

bn in collision (7500A) b1M_MBXAB_col := 0.0000 ; b1U_MBXAB_col := 0.0000 ; b1R_MBXAB_col := 0.0000 ; b2M MBXAB col := 0.0000 ; b2U MBXAB col := 0.5000 ; b2R MBXAB col := 0.6000 ; b3M MBXAB col := 0.0000; b3U MBXAB col := 3.0000 b3R MBXAB col := 1.1000; b4M MBXAB col := 0.0000 ; b4U MBXAB col := 0.2000 ; b4R MBXAB col := 0.1000 ; b5M MBXAB col := 0.0000; b5U MBXAB col := 1.0000; b5R MBXAB col := 0.1000; b6M MBXAB col := 0.0000 ; b6U MBXAB col := 0.0500 ; b6R MBXAB col := 0.0200 ; b7M MBXAB col := -0.2000 ; b7U MBXAB col := 0.3000 ; b7R MBXAB col := 0.0200 ; b8M MBXAB col := 0.0000 ; b8U MBXAB col := 0.0020 ; b8R MBXAB col := 0.0030 ; b9M MBXAB col := -0.0500 ; b9U MBXAB col := 0.1000 ; b9R MBXAB col := 0.0030 ; **b10M MBXAB col** := 0.0000; **b10U MBXAB col** := 0.0010; **b10R MBXAB col** := 0.0010; b11M_MBXAB_col := -0.0200 ; b11U_MBXAB_col := 0.0200 ; b11R_MBXAB_col := 0.0003 ; b12M MBXAB col := 0.0000; b12U MBXAB col := 0.0001; b12R MBXAB col := 0.0001; b13M MBXAB col := 0.0100; b13U MBXAB col := 0.0100; b13R MBXAB col := 0.0001; b14M MBXAB col := 0.0000; b14U MBXAB col := 0.0001; b14R MBXAB col := 0.0001; b15M MBXAB col := 0.0000; b15U MBXAB col := 0.0001; b15R MBXAB col := 0.0001;

col9_col98_col97_col69



"full corrector package": a3,b3,a4,b4,b6



The D1 Magnet: "a problem of its own"

in addition to the effect of the IT we get a strong effect on the Dynamic Aperture in case of ... D1 errors on D1 errors off



... the D1 errors needed special investigation

an in collision (7500 A) a1U_MBXAB_col := 0.0000; a1R_MBXAB_col := 0.0000; a2U_MBXAB_col := 3.0000; a2R_MBXAB_col := 3.5000; a3U_MBXAB_col := 2.0000; a3R_MBXAB_col := 0.3000; a4U_MBXAB_col := 0.3000; a4R_MBXAB_col := 0.4000;

! bn in collision (7500A) b1U_MBXAB_col := 0.0000; b1R_MBXAB_col := 0.0000; b2U_MBXAB_col := 0.5000; b2R_MBXAB_col := 0.6000; b3U_MBXAB_col := 3.0000; b3R_MBXAB_col := 1.1000; b4U_MBXAB_col := 0.2000; b4R_MBXAB_col := 0.1000;

••••

remember: for the worst case we have to expect $a_{total} = aU + 3*aR$



SLHC/errors/ ITD1 Error Table_ V2



Error Table_target_10



Are there – beyond the dynamic aperture – more aspects that we have to consider ???

D1 Magnet: to make it even more interesting large beam offset due to crossing angle $\Phi/2 = 205 \mu rad$



Operational Aspects of the low order D1 multipole errors

- * identify the most critical multipoles (DA)
- * define tolerance limits
- * include analytical approach

tune shift beta beat direct via b2 / feed down via b3, a3

coupling direct via a2 / *feed down via b3, a3*

$$\Delta Q = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \beta \Delta k ds$$

$$\frac{\Delta\beta}{\beta} = \frac{1}{2\sin(2\pi Q)} \oint \beta(\tilde{s}) (\Delta k(\tilde{s})\cos(2|\phi(\tilde{s}) - \phi(s)| - 2\pi Q)) d\tilde{s}$$

$$c_{-} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\beta_x \beta_y} k_s l_q$$

we assume ... $\beta = 4km$... 10km $\int Bdl = 30Tm$ $B\rho = 23000Tm$ $\Delta x_{max} = 15mm$

Example I: direct effect from b2

$$B_{y} + iB_{x} = B_{ref} * \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_{n} + ia_{n}) \left(\frac{x + iy}{r_{0}}\right)^{n-1}$$

The normal quadrupole field at reference radius $r_0 = 40mm$

$$B_{y}(\mathbf{r}) = B_{0} * b_{2} \frac{r}{r_{0}}$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{k} = \frac{\partial B}{\partial r} \frac{1}{B\rho} = B_{0} b_{2} \frac{1}{r_{0}} \frac{1}{B\rho} = \frac{4T * 2.3 * 10^{-4}}{2.3 * 10^{4} Tm * 40 * 10^{-3} m} = 1 * 10^{-6} \frac{1}{m^{2}}$$

will cause an optics distortion of

$$\Delta Q \approx \frac{1}{4\pi} \beta \Delta k \, l = \frac{1*10^{-6} / m^2 * 7.4m * 10000m}{4\pi} = 5.8 * 10^{-3}$$

$$\frac{\Delta \beta}{\beta} \approx \frac{1*10^{-6} / m^2 * 7.4m * 10000m}{2*\sin(2\pi Q)} = 4\%$$

Remember: phase advance over a mini beta section $\approx \pi$ -> the effects left & right of the IP add up

Example II: in-direct effect via feed down from b3



$$\mathbf{k}_2 = \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial r^2} \frac{1}{B\rho} = 2B_0 b_3 \frac{1}{r_0^2} \frac{1}{B\rho}$$

quadrupole error due to feed down:

 $k_1 L = \frac{2B_0 b_3 \Delta x L}{r_0^2} \frac{1}{B\rho} = \frac{2*30Tm*15mm*3*10^{-4}}{(40mm)^2} \frac{1}{2.3*10^4 Tm}$

and as effect on the optics we get $\Delta Q \approx 5.9 \times 10^{-3} \quad \frac{\Delta \beta}{\beta} \approx 3.9 \%$ per D1 magnet !!!

Nota bene:

1.) The effect on the machine parameters due to feed down from n=3 is in the same range as the direct influence from the n=2 multipoles.

2.) But as it depends on the beam position it is subject to variations during machine operation.

3.) et vice versa for the a3 and vertical plane.

Example III: coupling

 $a_{2total} = a_2 u + 3 * a_2 r$

coupling due to a skew field

$$c_{-} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\beta_x \beta_y} k_s l_q$$
$$c_{-}(a2) = 2.2 \times 10^{-2}$$
$$c_{-}(a3) = 4.6 \times 10^{-3}$$
$$c_{-}(b3) = 7.4 \times 10^{-3}$$



Scaling and Comparisons ...

we assume that the effect of the multipoles is compensated by the local correction scheme. we assume however a

> non-effectivity of the compensation un-reproducibility of the machine a variation from run to run operational changes in vertex, position / crossing angle ... of 30 %.

considering therefore the following limits as reasonable if caused by a single multipole of one magnetic element !!!

 $\Delta \beta / \beta \leq 1\%$ $\Delta Q \leq 0.001$

c_. for the coupling we assume the contribution should not exceed the tolerance for the roll angle error in one triplet quadrupole, ... $\Delta \phi = 0.1$ mrad

target values for the D1 multipoles

multipole	Limits in 10 ⁻⁴
<i>b2</i>	1.2
<i>a2</i>	3.0
<i>b3</i>	1.2
<i>a3</i>	1.2

Scaling and Comparisons ...

	target values upgrade-D1	<i>sc D1 magnet</i> (<i>MBX</i>) <i>at IR 2 & 8</i>	nc D1 magnet MBXW) at IR 1 & 5
reference radius	40 mm	17 mm	17 mm
<i>b 2</i>	1.2	1.106	0.437
<i>a 2</i>	3.0	4.774	-0.564
<i>b</i> 3	1.2	1.265	0.921
<i>a</i> 3	1.2	-0.180	0.034

so sorry, what about the present machine ???

we are already there ... if we presume the magent quality that is presently installed in the tunnel

A SCALING LAW FOR FIELD ERRORS

(E. Todesco, LHC project report 1010)

"Let us consider a quadrupole of aperture Φ , coil thickness *w*, characterized by a set of random field components σ , generated by a random movement of coil blocks with r.m.s. *d*. We set the reference radius *Rref as 1/3* of the aperture diameter. (...) therefore one has i.e., the multipole spread scales with the inverse of the aperture radius."

$$\sigma(\mathbf{b}_{n}, \mathbf{a}_{n}, \alpha \phi, \mathbf{d}, \alpha \mathbf{R}_{ref}) = \frac{1}{\alpha} * \sigma(\mathbf{b}_{n}, \mathbf{a}_{n}, \phi, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{R}_{ref})$$

	target values D1 (aperture = 180 mm)	sc D1 magnet (MBX) scaled to 180 mm aperture
reference radius	60 mm	60 mm
<i>b</i> 2	1.8	0.768
a 2	4.5	3.32
b 3	2.7	1.37
a 3	2.7	0.190

Conclusion

D1 errors are large even compared to the triplett errors
we need the full corrector package (a3, b3, a4, b4, b6)
a reduction of 50 % of the prominent multipoles already helps A LOT
using the full correction scheme the effect of the D1 on operation still is considerable ...

do we need a further improvement?

Off Records:

	~V2 values upgrade-D1	target_10 values upgrade-D1	target values operation upgrade -D1
reference radius	40 mm	40 mm	40 mm
<i>b 2</i>	<i>0.5+3*0.6 = 2.3</i>	<i>0.5+3*0.6 = 2.3</i>	1.2
a 2	<i>3.0+3*3.5 = 13.5</i>	<i>1.5+3*1.7 = 6.6</i>	3.0
b 3	<i>3.0+3*1.1 = 6.3</i>	<i>1.5+3*0.5 = 3.0</i>	1.2
a 3	2.0+3* 0.3 = 2.9	<i>1.0+3*0.3 = 1.9</i>	1.2