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## Content and motivation

New triplets for the HL-LHC upgrade may features large B6 and B10 errors at injection due to persistent current generated by the large filament size of the $\mathrm{Nb}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}$ cable.

In addition, the injection optics for the HL-LHC may be decreased to 5.5 m . Increase the doubling the beta functions in the triplets.

It is therefore useful to investigate the effect on the particle dynamics and whether these imperfections can be easily corrected or not.

## DA scan



Scanning systematic b6 (from -20 to -100 units) assuming $20 \%$ for the random part.

## DA scan



Scanning systematic b10 (from 2 to 10 units) assuming $20 \%$ for the random part.

## Correction strategy

The triplet error correction follows the strategy envisaged for the LHC ${ }^{1}$ with additional corrector magnets for a6, b5, a5.
For each multipole error, some resonance driving terms are cancelled and the rest minimized. For b6 the choice was to cancel $(6,0)$ and $(0,6)$ and minimize $(4,2)$ and $(2,4)$.
The effects of the feed-down is not taken into account.
${ }^{1}$ S. Fartoukh et al. , LHC Project Report 429

## Detuning ${ }^{2}$

$$
H=H_{0}+\Re\left[\left(b_{n}+i a_{n}\right) \delta(s) \frac{(x+i y)^{n}}{n}\right]
$$

$$
\mathcal{H}\left(J_{x}, J_{y}\right)=<H\left(x=\sqrt{2 J_{x} \beta_{x}} \cos \left(\phi_{x}\right), y=\sqrt{2 J_{y} \beta_{x}} \cos \left(\phi_{y}\right)>_{\phi_{x}, \phi_{y}}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{x} \simeq \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial J_{x}} & Q_{y} \simeq \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial J_{y}} \\
\Delta Q_{x}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} b_{2} \beta_{x} & \Delta Q_{y}=-\frac{1}{4 \pi} b_{2} \beta_{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Delta Q_{x}=\frac{3}{8 \pi} b_{4} \beta_{x}\left(\beta_{x} J_{x}-2 \beta_{y} J_{y}\right) \quad \Delta Q_{y}=\frac{3}{8 \pi} b_{4} \beta_{y}\left(-2 \beta_{x} J_{x}+\beta_{y} J_{y}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta Q_{x} & =\frac{5}{8 \pi} b_{6} \beta_{x}\left(\beta_{x}^{2} J_{x}^{2}-6 \beta_{x} \beta_{y} J_{x} J_{y}+3 \beta_{y}^{2} J_{y}^{2}\right) \\
\Delta Q_{y} & =-\frac{5}{8 \pi} b_{6} \beta_{y}\left(3 \beta_{x}^{2} J_{x}^{2}-6 \beta_{x} \beta_{y} J_{x} J_{y}+\beta_{y}^{2} J_{y}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
{ }^{2} b_{n+1}=\mathrm{k} n \mathrm{l} / n!=\frac{e B_{n+1}}{p R_{r}^{n}}, a_{n+1}=\mathrm{ks} n \mathrm{l} / n!=\frac{e A_{n+1}}{p R_{r}^{n}}
$$

## Footprint (12 $\sigma$ ) without crossing angle



## Footprint $(12 \sigma)$ without crossing angle



## Feeddown effects

In case of closed orbit in non linear elements, feeddown effects apply:

$$
\tilde{b}_{n}+i \tilde{a}_{n}=\sum_{k=n}^{N}\binom{k}{n}\left(b_{k}+i a_{k}\right)(x+i y)^{k-n}
$$

In particular:

$$
\tilde{b}_{4}=b_{4}+15 b_{6} x^{2}-15 b_{6} y^{2}
$$

## Footprint (12 $\sigma$ ) with crossing angle



## Footprint $(12 \sigma)$ with crossing angle



