# HEADTAIL upgrade

new features & options

D. Quatraro, G. Rumolo, B. Salvant thanks to R. Tomás, E. Métral

25 August 2008

< 🗆 🕨

÷,

990

ъ.

D. Quatraro, G. Rumolo, B. Salvant thanks to R. Tomás, E. Métral HEADTAIL upgrade

### Outline

#### 1 Linear optics model

- 2 Features
- 3 Program structure
- 4 Wake field interaction
- 5 Results for the SPS
- 6 Latest result for TMCI
- 7 Conclusion & perspectives

The model

Linear transport through the direct MAD-X output by means of matrices

The model

Linear transport through the direct MAD-X output by means of matrices



< < > < < > >

- - E

▶ < ≣ ▶

The model

Linear transport through the direct MAD-X output by means of matrices



Reading the TWISS parameters  $\psi, \beta, \alpha$  and the positions *s* of the elements and building up the matrices for the different points

The model

Linear transport through the direct MAD-X output by means of matrices



Reading the TWISS parameters  $\psi, \beta, \alpha$  and the positions *s* of the elements and building up the matrices for the different points

$$\mathcal{M}_{j}=\mathcal{M}\left( \textit{s}_{j+1}|\textit{s}_{j}
ight)$$

ъ.

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$ 

Momentum offset  $p = p_0 + \Delta p$ ,  $\delta = \Delta p / p_0$ 

Momentum offset 
$$p = p_0 + \Delta p$$
,  $\delta = \Delta p/p_0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \beta_j \rightarrow \beta_j + \hat{\beta}_j \delta \\ \alpha_j \rightarrow \alpha_j + \hat{\alpha}_j \delta \\ \psi_j \rightarrow \psi_j + \xi_j \delta \end{cases}$ 

$$\Delta \psi_{j+1,j} = \delta \, \xi_{j+1,j} \qquad \xi_{j+1,j} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}} \, ds \, \left[ k(s) - m(s) D(s) \right] \beta(s)$$

E

イロト イワト イヨト イヨト

Momentum offset 
$$p = p_0 + \Delta p$$
,  $\delta = \Delta p/p_0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \beta_j \rightarrow \beta_j + \hat{\beta}_j \delta \\ \alpha_j \rightarrow \alpha_j + \hat{\alpha}_j \delta \\ \psi_j \rightarrow \psi_j + \xi_j \delta \end{cases}$ 

$$\Delta \psi_{j+1,j} = \delta \, \xi_{j+1,j} \qquad \xi_{j+1,j} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}} \, ds \, \left[ k(s) - m(s) D(s) \right] \beta(s)$$

5990

≣

<ロ><()</p>

From MAD-X we get (  $d/d\delta$  )  $\psi_{j+1,j}=\xi_{j+1,j}$ 

$$\text{Momentum offset } p = p_0 + \Delta p, \ \delta = \Delta p/p_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} \beta_j \to \beta_j + \hat{\beta}_j \delta \\ \alpha_j \to \alpha_j + \hat{\alpha}_j \delta \\ \psi_j \to \psi_j + \xi_j \delta \end{cases}$$

$$\Delta \psi_{j+1,j} = \delta \, \xi_{j+1,j} \qquad \xi_{j+1,j} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}} \, ds \, \left[ k(s) - m(s) D(s) \right] \beta(s)$$

From MAD-X we get  $(d/d\delta) \psi_{j+1,j} = \xi_{j+1,j}$ 

For the transport

$$\mathcal{M}_{j}^{Chr} = \mathbf{T}_{j+1} \mathbf{R}\left(\psi_{j}\right) \mathbf{R}\left(\Delta \psi_{j+1,j}\right) \mathbf{T}_{j}^{-1} = \mathcal{M}\left(s_{j+1}|s_{j}\right) \cdot \mathcal{M}\left(s_{j}|s_{j}; \Delta \psi_{j+1,j}\right)$$

< D > < B > <</p>

990

э

- ∢ ⊒ →

## Outline

#### 1 Linear optics model

#### 2 Features

- 3 Program structure
- 4 Wake field interaction
- 5 Results for the SPS
- 6 Latest result for TMCI
- 7 Conclusion & perspectives

#### Choice of the interaction and observation points 1/3

Three kind of interactions are taken in account

i) Space charge: present everywhere in the machine

< 🗆 🕨

500

# Choice of the interaction and observation points 1/3

Three kind of interactions are taken in account

- i) Space charge: present everywhere in the machine
- ii) Wake field:
  - broad band
  - resistive wall
  - associated with a certain component

< 🗆 🕨

500

# Choice of the interaction and observation points 1/3

Three kind of interactions are taken in account

- i) Space charge: present everywhere in the machine
- ii) Wake field:
  - broad band
  - resistive wall
  - associated with a certain component
- iii) Electron cloud: localized one

# Choice of the interaction and observation points 1/3

Three kind of interactions are taken in account

- i) Space charge: present everywhere in the machine
- ii) Wake field:
  - broad band
  - resistive wall
  - associated with a certain component
- iii) Electron cloud: localized one

How to select these interaction points?

< 🗆 🕨

500

# Choice of the interaction and observation points 1/3

Three kind of interactions are taken in account

- i) Space charge: present everywhere in the machine
- ii) Wake field:
  - broad band
  - resistive wall
  - associated with a certain component
- iii) Electron cloud: localized one

How to select these interaction points?

• three options

## Choice of the interaction and observation points 1/3

Three kind of interactions are taken in account

- i) Space charge: present everywhere in the machine
- ii) Wake field:
  - broad band
  - resistive wall
  - associated with a certain component
- iii) Electron cloud: localized one

How to select these interaction points?

- three options
- by means of the elements name or their family name

< 🗆 🕨

# Choice of the interaction and observation points 1/3

Three kind of interactions are taken in account

- i) Space charge: present everywhere in the machine
- ii) Wake field:
  - broad band
  - resistive wall
  - associated with a certain component
- iii) Electron cloud: localized one

How to select these interaction points?

- three options
- by means of the elements name or their family name
- by means of the elements name or their family name

# Choice of the interaction and observation points: space charge 2/3

Space charge forces depend on the transversal size of the beam  $\sigma_{x, y} \simeq \sqrt{\epsilon_{x, y} \beta_{x, y}}$ E. g. SPS' lattice example



 $\beta_{x,y}$  sampled through  $[\beta_{Inf.}, \beta_{Sup.}]$  (left)  $\rightarrow$  we can take in account any size of the beam

< 🗆 🕨

# Choice of the interaction and observation points: space charge 2/3

Space charge forces depend on the transversal size of the beam  $\sigma_{x, y} \simeq \sqrt{\epsilon_{x, y} \beta_{x, y}}$ E. g. SPS' lattice example



 $\beta_{x,y}$  sampled through  $[\beta_{Inf.}, \beta_{Sup.}]$  (left)  $\rightarrow$  we can take in account any size of the beam

 $\beta$  randomly distributed over the ring (right)  $\rightarrow$  using different seeds we can do some statistical studies  $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \rangle \equiv \langle \Box \rangle$ 

Sac

D. Quatraro, G. Rumolo, B. Salvant thanks to R. Tomás, E. Métral HEADTAIL upgrade

# Choice of the interaction and observation points: HDTL syntax 3/3

Three keywords:

< <p>Image: Image: Imag

P

200

-

# Choice of the interaction and observation points: HDTL syntax 3/3

Three keywords:

ec electron cloud interaction points

< 🗆 🕨

MQC2

# Choice of the interaction and observation points: HDTL syntax 3/3

Three keywords:

ec electron cloud interaction points

wf wake field interaction points

< 🗆 🕨

500

# Choice of the interaction and observation points: HDTL syntax 3/3

Three keywords:

- ec electron cloud interaction points
- wf wake field interaction points
- ob observation points (BPMs)

# Choice of the interaction and observation points: HDTL syntax 3/3

Three keywords:

- ec electron cloud interaction points
- wf wake field interaction points
- ob observation points (BPMs)

Example for the SPS



< <p>Image: Image: Imag

JAC.

# Choice of the interaction and observation points: HDTL syntax 3/3

Three keywords:

- ec electron cloud interaction points
- wf wake field interaction points
- ob observation points (BPMs)

Example for the SPS



< 🗆 🕨

JAC.

The string BMM means each element of that family.

Outline of the command from shell

Outline	of	the	command	from	shell

argv	option	type	description	comment	
1	-	*char	MAD-X input file with lattice structure	contains all the MAD-X instructions	
2	0	int	sampling through all $-\beta_x$ range of variation		
	1	int	sampling through all $eta_y$ range of variation	-	
	2	int	random choice of both $eta_{\mathbf{x}}$ and $eta_{\mathbf{y}}$	-	
_	3	int	no space charge force	-	
3	-	int	numbers of elements for the space charge force	compulsory if $argv2=0, 1, 2$	
>4	-	*char	elements' name for ec wf and ob points	you can even use one of the three	

# Outline

- 1 Linear optics model
- 2 Features
- 3 Program structure
- 4 Wake field interaction
- 5 Results for the SPS
- 6 Latest result for TMCI
- 7 Conclusion & perspectives

#### <u>Needed files</u> and how does hdtl work...1/2

- .cfg\*: contains all the information concerning the bunch as well as some of the physical parameters
- .dax\*: contains the MAD-X instructions to get all the information about the machine
- match\_htdl.cmdx\*: contains the MAD-X instructions to match both the tunes and the chromaticities whose values are in the .cfg file
- selectedlattice.txt: contains the used lattice with the twiss parameters
- ELEMENT\_NAMES.txt: contains all the elements used in the simulation and a flag to distinguish between them

#### <u>Needed files</u> and how does hdtl work...1/2

- .cfg\*: contains all the information concerning the bunch as well as some of the physical parameters
- .dax\*: contains the MAD-X instructions to get all the information about the machine
- match\_htdl.cmdx\*: contains the MAD-X instructions to match both the tunes and the chromaticities whose values are in the .cfg file
- selectedlattice.txt: contains the used lattice with the twiss parameters

- ELEMENT\_NAMES.txt: contains all the elements used in the simulation and a flag to distinguish between them
- in red are those files whose name must not change
- \* are those files hdtl needs to work

launch hdtl with the right syntax

launch hdtl with the right syntax

HEADTAIL upgrade

hdtl runs MAD-X to produce the lattice structure

< <p>Image: Image: Imag

άų.

990

ъ.

- launch hdtl with the right syntax
- hdtl runs MAD-X to produce the lattice structure

 $\label{eq:linear} \begin{matrix} \psi \\ \text{the file lattice.txt is written} \end{matrix}$ 

< 🗆 🕨

MQC2

- launch hdtl with the right syntax
- hdtl runs MAD-X to produce the lattice structure

< 🗆 🕨

500

hdtl runs Gettwiss to recognize the lattice structure and to build up the transfer matrices

- launch hdtl with the right syntax
- hdtl runs MAD-X to produce the lattice structure

#### 

hdtl runs Gettwiss to recognize the lattice structure and to build up the transfer matrices

#### ∜

the files selectedlattice.txt and ELEMENT\_NAMES.txt are written

< 🗆 🕨

# Outline

- 1 Linear optics model
- 2 Features
- 3 Program structure
- 4 Wake field interaction
- 5 Results for the SPS
- 6 Latest result for TMCI
- 7 Conclusion & perspectives

# New way to get the wake fields..

The model

hdtl takes the fields from ZBASE  $\rightarrow$  wake field kick

#### New way to get the wake fields..

#### The model

hdtl takes the fields from ZBASE  $\rightarrow\,$  wake field kick

$$p_j(\Delta t) = p_j(0) + f_j(q_j) \cdot \Delta t \qquad j = x, y$$

with

$$\int_{\mathsf{s}_j}^{\mathsf{s}_j+\Delta \mathsf{s}} d\mathsf{s} \ \mathit{f}_j(q_j) = \kappa \left( W_j^{Dip.} \hat{q}_j + W_j^{Quad.} q_j 
ight)$$

< <p>Image: Image: Imag

ъ.

500

being  $\hat{q}_j$  the coherent motion spatial coordinate

#### New way to get the wake fields..

#### The model

hdtl takes the fields from ZBASE  $\rightarrow$  wake field kick

$$p_j(\Delta t) = p_j(0) + f_j(q_j) \cdot \Delta t \qquad j = x, y$$

with

$$\int_{s_j}^{s_j+\Delta s} ds\, f_j(q_j) = \kappa \left( W_j^{Dip.} \hat{q}_j + W_j^{Quad.} q_j 
ight)$$

being  $\hat{q}_j$  the coherent motion spatial coordinate

...getting the fields

 $W_j^{Dip.}$  and  $W_j^{Quad.}$  fields for *every* device (source of impedance) directly taken from ZBASE

### Link between MAD-X and ZBASE



< u > < 🗗

5990

ъ.

### Link between MAD-X and ZBASE



## Outline

- 1 Linear optics model
- 2 Features
- 3 Program structure
- 4 Wake field interaction
- 5 Results for the SPS
- 6 Latest result for TMCI
- 7 Conclusion & perspectives

#### Impedance sources localization

Centroid motion at BP(M/V/H) selected by means of the names

#### Impedance sources localization

Centroid motion at BP(M/V/H) selected by means of the names Used to localise the impedance sources...from 1000-turns data

#### Impedance sources localization

Centroid motion at BP(M/V/H) selected by means of the names Used to localise the impedance sources...from 1000-turns data



pictures from Rama's talk: SPS Impedance Meeting, May 30th, 2008

# Outline

- 1 Linear optics model
- 2 Features
- 3 Program structure
- 4 Wake field interaction
- 5 Results for the SPS
- 6 Latest result for TMCI
- 7 Conclusion & perspectives

# Growth rates

We have simulated the interaction of the bunch with the kickers' impedances

### Growth rates

We have simulated the interaction of the bunch with the kickers' impedances



# Mode coupling 1/2

Analysis of the tune vs. bunch intensity

# Mode coupling 1/2

Analysis of the tune vs. bunch intensity



left(horizontal plane) & right(vertical plane)

5990

D. Quatraro, G. Rumolo, B. Salvant thanks to R. Tomás, E. Métral HEADTAIL upgrade

# Mode coupling 2/2

Comparison between the one kick and the new model



< 口 > < 合型

5990

left(horizontal plane) & right(vertical plane)

D. Quatraro, G. Rumolo, B. Salvant thanks to R. Tomás, E. Métral HEADTAIL upgrade

# Outline

- 1 Linear optics model
- 2 Features
- 3 Program structure
- 4 Wake field interaction
- 5 Results for the SPS
- 6 Latest result for TMCI
- 7 Conclusion & perspectives

- hdtl has been successfully interfaced with MAD-X for the linear transport
- hdtl has been successfully interfaced with ZBASE to get the dipolar and quadrupolar components of the wake fields for each element
- SPS kickers impedances: benchmark between the one-kick approximation (using β-weighed fields) and the new code with multiple kicks at their actual locations shows an excellent agreement
- hdtl can do realistic simulations for a single bunch through an arbitrary sequence of known impedances
- any suggestion, idea, help...would be most welcome...debugging is still ongoing